

Solutions to

Problems about

"Credibility and Time Consistency
in Economic Policy"

Vadim Mezher

Problem 1

1. Two important things about this particular loss function of the Central Bank (CB)

(i) The relationship between β and γ

$\beta = \gamma$, unemployment and inflation
have the same importance for the
Central Bank (CB)

$\beta = 0$, CB just worries about in-
flation

$\beta \approx \gamma \dots$

(ii) The fact that $(u - u^*)$ is linear while
 $(\pi - \pi^*)^2$ is not linear

$u - u^*$: CB loses only when $u > u^*$

$(\pi - \pi^*)$: CB loses whenever $\pi \neq \pi^*$

(1)

2. Assuming $U^* = 4$; $\bar{\pi}^* = 0$, we can re-write the Phillips Curve as

$$u - 4 = u^* - 4 - \alpha(\pi - \pi^*)$$

Inserting this into the loss function

$$\mathcal{L} = \beta [u^* - 4 - \alpha(\pi - \pi^*)] + \gamma \pi^2$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \pi} = 0 \Rightarrow -\beta \alpha + 2\gamma \pi = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi = \frac{\beta \alpha}{2\gamma}$$

as $\alpha = 15$ we obtain

$$\pi^d = 7.5 \frac{\beta}{\gamma}$$

3. Under commitment, $\pi^e = \pi$ and

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \pi} = 0 \Rightarrow 2\gamma \pi = 0 \\ \pi^e = 0.$$

(2)

4. Under commitment we have always lower inflation and so a lower loss.

$$\pi^d = 7.5 \frac{\beta}{\gamma} < \pi^c = 0.$$

5. Notice that if $\beta=0$ (CB does not care about unemployment; just about inflation)
then

$$\pi^d = 0 = \pi^c = 0.$$

(3)

Problem 2

Totally similar to the previous one, with only a minor difference: we have supply shocks (ϵ_t).

But notice that in order to simplify things, we are asked to determine the optimal level of inflation, by assuming $\epsilon_t = 0$, so you do not have to go through the entire derivation process.

(1), (2) and (3) do as we did in Problem 1.

As far as question (4) is concerned, the deterministic result would be under discretion

$$\bar{\pi}^d = -\frac{aY}{2} = \frac{0.5Y}{2}$$

while the stochastic one would be

$$\bar{\pi}^d = \frac{0.5Y}{2} + \phi \epsilon_t$$

(4)

with ϕ as a parameter. Obviously,

$$E_t \pi_{t+1}^d = \frac{0.5\gamma}{2} = \pi^d$$

So the only thing that changes is the volatility, that now is part of the model, while it was not in the deterministic case.

(5)

Problem 3

$$1. \quad \mathcal{L} = [u^n - \alpha(\pi - \pi^e)]^2 + \gamma \pi^2$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \pi} = 0 \Rightarrow -2\alpha[u^n - \alpha(\pi - \pi^e)] + 2\gamma\pi = 0$$

with RE we have $\pi^e = \bar{\pi}$ and so
~~now~~ we will have

$$-2\alpha u^n + 2\gamma \bar{\pi} = 0$$

$$\bar{\pi} = \frac{\alpha u^n}{\gamma}.$$

2. Under commitment we will get

$$\pi^c = 0.$$

(6)

3. Already explained in previous problems.
4. The result we obtained in question (3) depends crucially on the assumption of Rational Expectations (RE).

If private agents do not follow RE, then no one can guarantee that commitment will lead to lower inflation and higher social welfare, because agents do not follow the information provided by the "committed" Central Banker. They will simply keep using from the past in the formulation of their expectations.

(7)